



Shri Sukhjinder Singh,
S/o Shri Major Singh,
Village Poohla, Tehsil Nathana,
Distt. Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Village Development Officer,
Gram Panchayat, Poohla,
Block Nathana, Distt. Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Nagar Panchayat,
Block Nathana, Bathinda.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1675 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sukhjinder Singh, appellant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Village Development Officer, Gram
Panchayat, Poohla, Block Nathana, Distt. Bathinda.

O R D E R

Shri Sukhjinder Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.01.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Nagar Panchayat, Block Nathana, Bathinda. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the PIO-cum-Village Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Poohla, Block Nathana, Distt. Bathinda vide letter dated 29.01.2021. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 22.02.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is present today, whereas none has appeared on behalf of the PIO. The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the PIO till date.

The PIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 13.07.2021, diarized vide no.15290, which is a copy of letter dated 10.07.2021 sent by Shri Nazam Singh, PIO, Gram Panchayat, Poohla, Block Nathana, Distt. Bathinda.

I have gone through letter dated 10.07.2021 sent by Shri Nazam Singh, PIO and it is submitted by the PIO that he has received the RTI application of the appellant on 29.01.2021 and after going through the RTI application he has reported to the BDPO, Nathana vide letter no.1 dated 29.10.2021 that either the appellant would submit the requisite fee of Rs.6,000/- or should submit the photocopy of the BPL Card for exemption from paying the requisite fee. It is further submitted by the PIO that the BDPO, Nathana has written letter no.27 dated 12.03.2021 regarding this and the appellant has submitted the copy of Ration card, but that was not sufficient to prove that he belongs to BPL category. It is again submitted by the PIO

that again the BDPO, Nathana has written letter no.28 dated 18.03.2021 to the appellant and asked him to collect the information from Shri Najam Singh, PIO after depositing the requisite fee of Rs.6,000/- or by submitting the photocopy of the BPL Card, but the appellant has not responded accordingly.

As per the reply sent by the PIO as mentioned above, the appellant is directed that either he should deposit the amount of Rs.6,000/- as requisite fee with the office of the PIO or submit the photocopy of the BPL Card for exemption from paying the requisite fee within 15 days and the PIO is further directed that in case the appellant deposit the requisite fee or submit photocopy of BPL Card, then the attested copies of sought for information be provided to the appellant within 10 days from the receipt of requisite fee or photocopy of BPL Card and compliance report be sent back to the Commission accordingly. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Charanjit Kumar Bansal,
H.No. 2650, Ward No.12,
Opp. Dussehra Graound,
Kharar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1681 of 2021

Present:- Shri Charanjit Kumar Bansal, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab,
Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Charanjit Kumar Bansal, appellant filed RTI application dated 28.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 11.02.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 21.06.2021, diarized vide no.13418, which is a copy of letter dated 15.06.2021 along with a copy of letter no.2162-63 dated 24.12.2014, copy of letter no.37 dated 18.11.2014, copy of letter dated 06.11.2020, copy of certificate dated 06.11.2020 and copy of letter no.281530 dated 09.11.2020.

I have gone through letter dated 15.06.2021 sent by the SPIO vide which he has sent point-wise information to the appellant and also gone through the RTI application dated 28.12.2020. The SPIO has sent point-wise information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.06.2021 and a period of more than one month has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information and neither he has attended the hearing today, it means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Ms. Santosh Kumari,
H.No. 2650, Ward No.12,
Opp. Dussehra Graound,
Kharar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1682 of 2021

Present:- Ms. Santosh Kumari, appellant is absent.
Shri Gurpreet Singh, Sr. Asstt. is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o Director,
Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

O R D E R

Ms. Santosh Kumari, appellant filed RTI application dated 07.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Education Deptt. (SE), Punjab, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali. When no information was provided to the appellant by the SPIO, then he filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.01.2021. Then he filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 01.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 16.06.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter dated 16.06.2021 vide which he has sent point-wise information to the appellant.

I have gone through letter dated 16.06.2021 sent by the SPIO vide which he has sent point-wise information to the appellant and also gone through the RTI application dated 07.12.2020. The SPIO has sent point-wise information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Moreover the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 16.06.2021 and a period of more than one month has been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information and neither she has attended the hearing today, it means she is satisfied with the information sent to her by the SPIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 19.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Balwant Rai Khanna,
444, Near Nirankari Bhawan,
New Satnampura,
Phagwara.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 403 of 2021

Present:- Shri Balwant Rai Khanna, complainant/applicant is present.
ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Branch is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Balwant Rai Khanna, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 02.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to him by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 20.04.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Both the parties are present today.

The complainant/applicant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO till date.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the applicant had sought information regarding FIR No. 317 dated 31.12.2020 registered at Police Station, Divn.No. 6, Ludhiana, but the investigation regarding the said case is under investigation, hence the information cannot be provided to the complainant/applicant at this stage and whenever the investigation will be completed the information will be provided to the complainant/applicant.

As the investigation regarding the information sought by the applicant is still under investigation, so the information cannot be provided to him. Hence, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the investigation will be completed, the attested copies of sought for information will be sent to the complainant-applicant within a week through registered post and compliance report be sent back to the Commission.

Dated: 19.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Hitesh Jain,
R/o B-XIX, 523,
College Road, Gandhi Lane,
Civil Lines, Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 974 of 2020

Present:- Shri Hitesh Jain, complainant/applicant is absent.
ASI Ramesh Kumar, Incharge RTI Cell is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Hitesh Jain, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.08.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO WEBEX MEETINGS Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present on behalf of the SPIO and he stated that the complainant/applicant has sought information regarding three complaints filed by him and out of which investigation has been completed in one complaint i.e. 1695332 dated 26.03.2019, but two complaints are still pending before the ACP, Civil Line Ludhiana and the ADCP-3, Ludhiana. He further stated that the applicant has collected the information regarding complaint No.1695332 dated 26.03.2019 by hand on 16.07.2021.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter dated 16.07.2021 along with a receipt dated 16.07.2021 duly signed by Shri Hitesh Jain, applicant that he has received the information regarding complaint No.1695332.

As per the reply of the SPIO that the information regarding complaint No.1695332 has been provided to the applicant by hand on 16.07.2021 and two complaints are still pending, so the information cannot be provided to the applicant at this stage. Hence the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the observation that whenever the investigation will be completed regarding the information sought by the applicant, the attested copies of information be sent to the applicant through registered post within a week and compliance report be sent back to the Commission.

Dated: 19.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Jaspal Singh Sandhu, S/o Shri Inder Singh,
R/o Bibi Wala,
Tehsil & Distt. Bathinda.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies,
Room No. 463, Third Floor,
Distt. Admn. Complex, Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Joint Registrar,
Co-operative Societies,
Ferozepur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3186 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh Sandhu, appellant is present.
Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Bathinda.

O R D E R

Shri Jaspal Singh Sandhu, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.02.2019 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Bathinda.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The respondent stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 16.07.2021 and copy of the letter has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail dated 19.07.2021 sent by Sh. Pankaj Sharma, Inspector O/o Deputy Registrar, Co-operative Societies, Bathinda vide which he has informed that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 16.07.2021. He has also annexed the photocopy of the postal receipt dated 16.07.2021 along with the copies of sought for information.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 18.02.2019 and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO. The appellant sought information regarding his A/c no.535 and the PIO has sent him the requisite information.

The appellant stated that he has not yet received the information sent by the SPIO through registered post on 16.07.2021.

So, the SPIO is directed that the sought for information be provided to the appellant by hand today itself and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.